

Tracy, Mary

From: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK
Sent: Wednesday, December 6, 2017 8:42 AM
To: Tracy, Mary
Subject: FW: objection to Proposed RPC 4.2 change

Forwarding.

-----Original Message-----

From: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK
Sent: Wednesday, December 6, 2017 8:42 AM
To: 'Lisa DuFour' <dufourli@comcast.net>
Subject: RE: objection to Proposed RPC 4.2 change

Received 12-6-17.

Supreme Court Clerk's Office

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa DuFour [mailto:dufourli@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, December 5, 2017 6:53 PM
To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>
Subject: objection to Proposed RPC 4.2 change

The new proposed rule in RPC 4.2 should not apply in Family Law cases. If a person is a lawyer and pro se in a family law matter—they should still be able to communicate directly with their spouse, former spouse or parent of their children. To say they cannot communicate directly about any topic that is part of the family law matter is a huge problem and issue. I could go on at length about the problems this would cause . Please contact me if you want examples.

Thank you,
Lisa DuFour
WSBA 23871

Sent from my iPhone